1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4) Plaintiff, Case No.: 2:16-cr-0093-GMN-VCF 5 VS. **ORDER** 6 VICTOR PERE-QUIROZ, 7 Defendants. 8 9 10 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States 11 Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach (ECF No. 70), which states that Defendant Victor Pere-12 Quiroz's Motion to Suppress (ECF No. 59) should be granted. 13 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a 14 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); 15 D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo 16 determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, 17 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 18 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is 19 not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an 20 objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized 21 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation 22 where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 23 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

Accordingly,

24

25

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 70) is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Suppress (ECF No. 59) is
4	GRANTED.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all statements after investigator Teresa Laurian said
6	"[h]e invoked" are hereby SUPPRESSED .
7	DATED this $\frac{17}{2}$ day of August, 2016.
8	
9	
10	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court
11	Office States District Court
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	